

Clone of 1982; A series of six declarer-play deals, intended for V-Blue Year 2. SA version.

| Y2 VBlue \#23 David's Deals SA | Contract: 6H |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: 03/07/2016 | By: South |
| Dealer: North | Board \#: 1 |
| Play this hand online! | Vulnerable: None |

North

- J4
- 765
- A9532
- A63

| West | N | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - QT8753 | , 00 | - 962 |
| - 942 | w E | - T3 |
| - 7 | S | - QJT8 |
| - J75 |  | - QT92 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - AK |  |
|  | - AKQJ8 |  |
|  | -K64 |  |
|  | - K84 |  |

This set of six deals has been written by our British guest David Bird. There is no theme to these deals, just enjoy them as they come.

Hand 1: After this deal was played, it was noticed that a particular opening lead would have defeated the contract.

Don't worry. West will not find the killing lead when you play the hand. Try to take advantage of it!

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $P$ | $P$ | $2 \%$ |
| $P$ | 2 | $P$ | $2 \psi$ |
| $P$ | $3 v$ | $P$ | $4 v$ |
| $P$ | $6 v$ | $P$ | $P$ |
| $P$ |  |  |  |

Lead: 7
Sitting South, we show a minimum opening bid. North realizes that his two Aces entitle him to bid a small slam after our strong bidding.

West makes the natural lead of his singleton Diamond. We win with the $\star \mathrm{K}$, saving the $\star A$ as an entry to the weaker hand.

We draw trumps in three rounds and must now set up the Diamond suit to provide a discard for the possible Club loser. We lead a second round of Diamonds and duck in the dummy (saving the $\star A$ as an entry on the third round of Diamonds). East wins and shifts to a Club.

We must win this in our hand with the sK. We cross to the $\star$ A and ruff a Diamond in our hand. The $\uparrow 9$ is now good. We cross to the 2A and play the $\uparrow 9$, throwing our Club loser. The slam is made.

The main lesson of the deal is that it is often a good idea to duck an early round of a suit that we are planning to establish. In this way, we preserve dummy's honor as an entry on a subsequent round of the suit.

## Postscript.

Can you see now, with hindsight, which opening lead would have beaten the slam? There was no way that West could find it, but a Club lead would have been successful. It would knock out the K and allow East to play another Club to remove the a when a Diamond was ducked. We could not then reach the thirteenth Diamond for a discard.


Bridge players hate having to make a guess.
See if you can spare yourself such aggravation on this deal.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | $P$ | $1 \varphi$ |
| $P$ | $1 Q$ | $P$ | $1 N T$ |
| $P$ | $3 V$ | $P$ | $4 \vee$ |
| $P$ | $P$ | $P$ |  |

Lead: A
West cashes three Club winners against our contract of $4 \boldsymbol{\varphi}$. He shifts to a Diamond, won with the $\uparrow$ A, and we must now score the remaining tricks. We can eventually discard our Diamond loser on the fourth round of Spades, so we just need to pick up the trump suit without losing a trick.

All will be easy if trumps break 3-2. If they break 4-1 instead, we can make the contract only when West holds a singleton $\vee J$ or $\vee 10$. To discover if this is the case, we should play the $\geqslant \mathrm{K}$ on the first round. When the $\vee 10$ drops from West, we cross to the $\vee \mathrm{A}$, confirming that West's $\vee 10$ was a singleton. We then take the marked finesse of the 99, draw the last trump and run four rounds of Spades, discarding our Diamond loser.

Suppose we made the mistake of cashing the $\checkmark A$ on the first round, the $\vee 10$ dropping from West. We would then have to guess whether to finesse. If we finessed the 99 , we would go down when West had started with $\vee \mathrm{J} 10$ or $\vee \mathrm{J}$ $10 \times$.

If we guessed to cross to the 9 K next, we would have no convenient entry to dummy to take the necessary finesse of the $\vee 9$. (If we led the $\uparrow 3$ to the J to finesse in Hearts, we would block the Spade suit).
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| y2 vBlue \#23 David's Deals SA | Contract: 6S <br> By: South |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: 03/07/2016 Board \#: 3 <br> Dealer: South Vulnerable: E / W <br> Play this hand online!  |  |.


| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $2 \&$ |
| $P$ | 2 | $P$ | $2 \&$ |
| $P$ | 3 | $P$ | 3 |
| $P$ | 4 | $P$ | $4 N T$ |
| $P$ | 5 | $P$ | $6 \$$ |
| $P$ | $P$ | $P$ |  |


|  | North |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | - T2 |  |
|  | - T8532 |  |
|  | - A832 |  |
|  | +95 |  |
| West | N | East |
| - 4 | $0 \cdot 6$ | - 985 |
| - KJ76 | E | - Q4 |
| - J65 | S | - T974 |
| * QJT76 |  | - K843 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - AKQJ763 |  |
|  | - ${ }^{\text {A }}$ Q |  |
|  | - KQ |  |
|  | + A2 |  |

Most play hands should be in fairly high contracts, as I see it.

Who wants to exert themselves in a 2 * contract? Not me, anyway.

| Pro Vu-Bridge <br> www.vubridge.com |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Clone of 1982; A series of six declarer-play deals, int V-Blue Year 2. SA version. |  |  |
| y2 VBlue \#23 David's <br> Date: 03/07/2016 <br> Dealer: West <br> Play this hand online | Deals SA | Contract: 4H By: South Board\#: 4 Vulnerable: All |
| North <br> - QJ4 <br> - Q762 <br> - AKQ5 <br> - J6 |  |  |
| West | N | Eas |
| - T853 | 00 | - 962 |
| $\checkmark$ AJ |  | - T93 |
| - 77 | S | - J862 |
| * AKT75 |  | * Q92 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - AK7 |  |
|  | - K854 |  |
|  | - 943 |  |
|  | + 843 |  |

Sometimes when you are planning the play, you realize that the cards will have to lie in a certain way for the contract to be made.

You must then play the hand on the assumption that the cards do indeed lie that way.


If you can give yourself the maximum chance on most of the deals that you play, you will end up as a winner. On many hands the second-best play will work, because a suit breaks favorably.

Sometimes, as on this deal, you need to play the contract accurately to succeed against a less friendly lie of the cards.

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | $P$ | $P$ | 14 |
| 2 | 2 | $P$ | 4 |
| $P$ | $P$ | $P$ |  |

Lead: * K
We bid to 4a after West has made a cheeky non-vulnerable overcall of 2 and West leads the $\& \mathrm{~K}$. We win with the A and must look for the best play in the trump suit, hoping to restrict our losses there to just one trick.

We should lead towards the $\$ \mathrm{Q}$, hoping that East holds the $\Delta \mathrm{K}$. What is more, we must not cash the $\Delta \mathrm{A}$ first. If East happens to hold four trumps including the $\Delta \mathrm{K}$, we'd need to lead trumps a second time towards our hand.

We lead to the $₫ Q$ and this wins the trick. We return to the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$, West showing out, and are then in dummy to lead towards the J . We escape for one trump loser and make the contract.

Both the inferior plays (cashing the $₫ \mathrm{~A}$ on the first round, or crossing to South hand to lead the $\wedge$ Q) would result in defeat when the cards lie as in the actual layout. When trumps break 3-2 any play will succeed. (If West held four trumps including the King, no play would succeed).


Some declarers seem to be able to see into the defenders' hands, as if by magic.

Take the declarer's cards on this last deal and see if you can wave your magic wand appropriately.

