

This set of deals (and another series of six hands elsewhere in this edition of VBlue) is all about defence against 3NT contracts.

The principles involved form the bedrock of defensive play. Let's list some of these ideas, which we hope to crystallise with these deals.

Leading from long suits (top of sequences

- where you are lucky enough to own one; fourth-highest from broken suits otherwise);

Third player playing high to help establish partner's suit;

## Return your partner's suit.

On these six deals you will be sitting as the third player, working out what to do when partner leads against West's 3NT. In the second series of six deals you will be switched to being the opening leader.

Hand 1 sets the scene. What do you play at trick one?

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $P$ |
| 1NT | $P$ | $3 N T$ | $P$ |
| $P$ | $P$ |  |  |

Lead: $\vee 3$
Bidding.
West opens 1NT (13 points and a 4.3.3.3 pattern). East, holding 13 points and a decent suit, raises that to 3NT without stopping to admire the view.

Play.
Our partner leads a low Heart against 3NT, which we must assume to be from his longest suit. Holding a broken suit he leads the fourthhighest card; had he held a sequence (such as $\quad \mathrm{J} 109$ and others) he would have led the top card.

Declarer calls for dummy's $\vee 4$ and we must not forget to play the vK . If we were too mean to play our highest card (by playing the 10 instead, say) West would have an easy ride as he'd win a cheap trick with the $\vee \mathrm{J}$ and then would have tricks to burn (a Heart, two Spades, five Diamonds and two Clubs on top).

The usual rule to guide us here is:

## Third player plays high

meaning that we should respond to the opening lead by playing our highest card to help develop partner's suit. (We will expand on this important theme as we proceed).

After correctly playing our vK (which wins the trick) we must lose no time in playing back another Heart (return your partner's suit).

The correct card to lead back is the $\vee 10$. When we hold two cards remaining we should always lead the higher, although on this layout of the cards, on this deal, it doesn't actually matter.

North has to keep track of all the Hearts (by counting them). As he does so he realises that all of them are winners (yes, even the lowly $\vee 2$ ) and we have the first five tricks before a bemused declarer gets a look in.

One down in 3NT. If we were that way inclined we could always sympathise with West. "Hard luck, my friend"


Can you co-operate with your partner effectively on this deal?

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $P$ |
| 1NT | $P$ | $3 N T$ | $P$ |
| $P$ | $P$ |  |  |

Lead: 5
Bidding.

West opens 1NT (13 points and a $4 \cdot 3 \cdot 3 \cdot 3$ pattern). East, holding 13 points and a decent suit, raises that to 3NT without stopping to pick the daisies.

## Play.

Partner leads a low Spade (the $\uparrow 5$ this time) against 3 NT. As it's a low card we may assume that it's the fourth highest card from a long suit.

So, after perusing the dummy, how should we plan the defence? Should we take the $₫ A$ or not? If we do so, what should we do at trick two? After all, we do have an attractive sequence in Diamonds...

Firstly, by leading a low card partner is saying "Let's try and take some tricks in this suit". So, failure to take the $\uparrow$ A would be a dereliction of duty. Secondly, having taken the $\Delta A$ it would be poor play to switch suits. The right thing to do is to lead another Spade. (Again - as in Hand 1 Return your partner's suit).

It may look tempting to switch to a Diamond (after all, we would have led the $\$$ Q at trick one if East had been declarer) but is generally wise for the defenders to stick to the same suit. Here a Spade return is fatal - to declarer.

Whatever West plays at trick two (the $\llcorner\mathrm{Q}$ or the \&10) North, sitting over him, has a counterplay. He can win with either the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ or $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ as necessary. Now all North's Spades are winners and can be cashed immediately for one off. As South we are just a spectator while this is going on, albeit a contented one.

After losing the first five tricks West easily has the rest of but it's too late - he is one down.

Shake your head sympathetically but don't forget to record the score.
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| VBY1 $\# 4$ Defence v NT Part 1 ACOL | Contract: 3 N |
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Play this hand online!

| West | North | East | South |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $P$ |
| 1NT | P | $3 N T$ | P |
| P | P |  |  |
| Lead: $\uparrow 5$ |  |  |  |
| Bidding. |  |  |  |

North

- AT853
- K6
- 4
- T9754

| West | N | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Q9 | , 90 | . 742 |
| - A97 | w E | - JT4 |
| - AT75 | S | - KQJ8 |
| - K632 |  | - AQJ |
|  | South |  |
|  | - KJ6 |  |
|  | - Q8532 |  |
|  | -9632 |  |
|  | $\bigcirc 8$ |  |

On this deal partner leads his suit against 3NT and you have two decisions to make as third player.

What card do you play to trick one and what card do you play to trick two?

West opens 1NT (13 points and a 4•4•3.2 pattern). East, holding 14 points, raises that to 3NT immediately.
$1 \mathrm{NT}-3 \mathrm{NT}$ is one of the commonest bidding sequences in the game.

## Play.

North leads the $\$ 5$ against West's 3NT. From our perspective that has to be the fourth-highest from a long suit, giving North four or five Spades.

Now, this deal is just a little more subtle than the previous two. Firstly we have to play our aK (it is quite wrong to play the J , keeping back the $\Delta \mathrm{K}$ for later) and secondly, we must return a Spade at trick two. Failure to lead a Spade back at trick two might result in us searching the "Partner's wanted" column of tomorrow's newspaper...

Anyway, we duly return partner's suit (this hand being little different to the previous two deals) but which Spade? We only have two Spades left, the $\downarrow$ and the Can you see what would happen if we led the $\quad 6$ at trick two? West would have to play the $₫$ Q, North would take his $\leftrightarrow A$ and trick three would be won by our $\uparrow$ J...

There might then follow a few moments of nothing very much broken by an icy remark from North along the lines of, "It's your lead, partner". Oops! We'd be on lead with no Spade left and North's two master Spades would wither on the vine.

In order to prevent this tangling up of a suit (the technical term is a blockage) it is important for us to return the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ at trick two. We made this point in Hand 1 where we said that, "When you hold two cards remaining you should always lead the higher". In Hand 1 it made little difference - here it is crucial.

By returning the $\quad \mathrm{J}$ we allow partner to take trick two with the $₫ \mathrm{~A}$ and three with the $\uparrow 10$. That clears the path for tricks four and five to be taken with North's last two Spades (the $\uparrow 8$ and
^3). Essentially we are getting out of partner's way.

This way (and only this way) we take the first five tricks against $3 N T$ and declarer is one down.

It's a tough old game, West.
(79) Yu.Bridge
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| VBY1 \#4 Defence v NT Part 1 ACOL | Contract: 3 N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: 24/03/2016 | By: West |
| Dealer: West | Board \#: 4 |
|  | Vulnerable: None |

Play this hand online!

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1NT | $P$ | $3 N T$ | $P$ |
| $P$ | $P$ |  |  |

Lead: 3
Bidding.
West opens 1NT (13 points and a 4.3.3.3 pattern). East, holding 14 points and a decent suit, raises that to 3NT without wasting time.

## Play.

Once more we are defending against 3NT and North (our loyal partner) kicks off with a low Diamond lead - the $\$ 3$ to be precise.

As declarer is contemplating the dummy we might do some card-reading ourselves. Since our methods are to lead the fourth-highest card from a long suit we should realise that North might have five Diamonds but cannot have six. How might we deduce this?

Much depends on where the $\downarrow 2$ lies. If declarer has it North has led his lowest Diamond and therefore can only have four of them. If North has it then he has a five-card suit. To have six cards in Diamonds and to have led the fourthhighest North would have to have been dealt two cards lower than the $\$ 3$. Not too likely, that, we think... QED. (That's Latin for Quite Easily Done, by the way).

Anyway, when dummy plays the $\downarrow 7$ we are called into action and have to play a card from $\star$ K Q 5. Playing the $\star 5$ would be idiotic, of course (dummy's $\uparrow 7$ would most likely win the trick) but playing the $\downarrow \mathrm{K}$ would be a subtle error. True, we have been saying that Third player plays high but when we hold equal cards (effectively a sequence) we should play "high enough" - meaning that we play the lowest card from our sequence.
[Note how this reverses what we do as a leader. With the $\downarrow$ Q 10 , as an example, we would lead the $\downarrow Q$ if we were on lead. As third player, though, we would follow suit to a low card with the 10 . It is an important point of technique].

Back to the plot... Our $Q$ wins trick one, leaving us on play with $\uparrow$ K 5 . Naturally, we play another Diamond at trick two (return your partner's suit). This Diamond, though, must be the $\uparrow$ K. Why? Play the higher card from a doubleton (as in Hands 1 and 3).

If we didn't do this the suit would become tangled up (or blocked) and North would be unable to run off his suit. (Play it through in your head and see what happens if we were to lead back the $\$ 5$ at trick two; it's an instructive deal).

Essentially we are following the rule that we use as a declarer. We are playing the high cards from the short suit first. True, we can't actually see partner's hand and his actual Diamond holding but we are following general principles.

Having cashed the $\$ \mathrm{~K}$ we continue with the $\$ 5$ and North is able to take the remainder of his suit as West is exhausted of Diamonds. One off before West can gain a foothold.

Note that declarer would have ten easy tricks (two Spades, three Hearts and five Clubs) were he able to gain the lead.

On this deal partner leads an honour card

## North

- JT987
- J86
- A
- Q843

| West | N | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - A63 | 90 | - Q5 |
| - A95 | ᄃ | - K32 |
| - J852 | S | - KQT96 |
| - AT5 |  | - KJ2 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - K42 |  |
|  | - QT74 |  |
|  | - 743 |  |
|  | - 976 |  | against 3NT.

How does that help you plan the defence?

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - | - | - | $P$ |
| 1NT | $P$ | $3 N T$ | $P$ |
| $P$ | $P$ |  |  |

Lead:
Bidding.

West opens 1NT (13 points and a 4.3.3.3 pattern). East, holding 14 points and a decent suit, takes the fast track by bidding 3NT at once.

## Play.

West plays in 3NT again (he gets dealt some good hands, that fellow!) and partner (as usual) leads his longest suit.

Since North has a sequence it would be quite wrong to lead the fourth-highest card (the $\uparrow 8$ in this case). When the long suit is headed by a three-card or longer run it is customary to lead the top of the sequence so here North leads the J .

As South we know instantly that North has the ad 109 (and at least one other Spade - why lead from a three-card suit?) When we see the dummy we should also realise that the $\stackrel{\leftrightarrow Q}{ }$ on table is hopelessly, helplessly trapped.

If declarer calls for dummy's $\$ Q$ we'd cover that with the $\Delta \mathrm{K}$, forcing the $\uparrow \mathrm{A}$ and all of North's Spades would be winners. If, on the other hand, West calls for dummy's $\$ 5$ then we'd have no need to play the $\uparrow \mathrm{K}$ as the would be doing the work of forcing out the $\uparrow$ A. Heads West loses, tails we win.

Faced with a two-way losing option West decides to call for the $\llcorner 5$. We follow with a low card and sit back to watch the show, which progresses well for our side as West declines to part with his $\wedge$ A. Charmed by the fact that the opening lead has won the trick North plays another Spade. Now we put the $\Delta K$ on the $₫ Q$ to force the $\Delta \mathrm{A}$. When West stubbornly refuses to play his $\uparrow A$ we continue to play Spades to drive it out.

West's extra tricks can only come from Diamonds so declarer leads the suit early in the piece to knock out the $\star$ A. North happily takes his Ace and cashes out his Spade suit. We make four Spades and a Diamond for one off.

Hard luck, West. However, note that declarer could do nothing after the lead makes mincemeat of dummy's $₫ Q$.

We defenders have the tempo to make five tricks no matter what declarer tries.
(79) Yu.Bridge
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| VBY1 \#4 Defence v NT Part 1 ACOL | Contract: 3 N |
| :--- | :--- |
| Date: $24 / 03 / 2016$ | By: West |
| Dealer: West | Board \#: 6 |
| Play this hand online! | Vulnerable: None |

North

- 753
- QJT865
- 87
* 63

| West | N | East |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - K842 | 00 | - 46 |
| - $A K$ | E | $\checkmark 97$ |
| - 953 | S | - QJT642 |
| - K852 |  | - AQ9 |
|  | South |  |
|  | - QJT9 |  |
|  | - 432 |  |
|  | - AK |  |
|  | - JT74 |  |

The opponents have yet another stab at 3NT and dummy displays a long suit.

Does partner's lead help you plan an effective defence?

| West | North | East | South |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $1 N T$ | $P$ | $3 N T$ | $P$ |
| $P$ | $P$ |  |  |

Lead: $\vee ~ Q$
Bidding.
West opens 1NT (13 points and a $4 \cdot 4 \cdot 3 \cdot 2$ pattern). East, holding 13 points and a six-card minor suit, raises that directly to 3NT.

He takes the reasonable view that nine tricks in No-trumps are likely to be much easier than eleven in Diamonds.

Play.
We are defending once more against 3NT and a slightly surprising dummy appears. That's not our concern, though, taking five tricks is our focus.

Partner leads the $\vee Q$ and we must Read the Lead. Partner has the VQ J 10 (and others) and declarer has the $ฯ A \mathrm{~K}$. As an aside, it's surprising what information we can dig up when we think about it.

Anyway, declarer wins the first trick with the $\vee A$ (fooling precisely nobody as we know he has the $\vee \mathrm{K})$, and sets about his Diamonds. We win that (not that we have much choice) and have to decide what to do.

Well, we must leave the Spades alone; tempting as the Spade sequence might be this is not a time to switch suits. We have to set North's Hearts up by returning partner's suit.

West wins his vK and realises he is in a deep hole. Still, there's little he can do. He plays another Diamond, hoping that we will do something stupid. Nope - no such luck. We take the $A$ and continue with the - ahem -Heart-attack.

Partner brightens up on the lead of the $\vee 3$ and cashes out his long suit. Two down.

Notice how 3NT would have made had East been declarer. With no reason to lead a Heart (we don't have x-ray vision) we'd have led a Spade. Now East-West could get the Diamonds going for enough tricks to make 3NT. True, we could have set up a couple of Spade tricks but that wouldn't have been enough to beat the contract.

Luckily for our side, the declaration placed North on lead.

